



Data Size and Arithmetic: Examples and Sample Problems

ICS312 Machine-Level and Systems Programming

Henri Casanova (henric@hawaii.edu)

Example

mov al 0A7h ; as a programmer, I view this
; as a unsigned, 1-byte quantity
; (decimal 167)

mov bl 0A7h ; as a programmer, I view this
; as a signed 1-byte
; quantity (decimal -89)

movzx eax, al; ; extend to a 4-byte value
; (000000A7)

movsx ebx, bl; ; extend to a 4-byte value
; (FFFFFFA7)

Practice

- Consider the following code

```
mov     al, 0B2h
```

```
movsx   eax, al
```

```
mov     bx, eax
```

```
movzx   ebx, bx
```

- What's the final value of eax?
- What's the final value of ebx?

Practice (Solution)

		EAX	EBX
mov	al, 0B2h	?? ?? ?? B2	?? ?? ?? ??
movsx	eax, al	FF FF FF B2	?? ?? ?? ??
mov	bx, eax	FF FF FF B2	?? ?? FF B2
movzx	ebx, bx	FF FF FF B2	00 00 FF B2

Example

```
unsigned short    ushort; // 2-byte quantity
signed char      schar;  // 1-byte quantity
int              integer; // 4-byte quantity

schar = 0xAF;
integer = (int) schar;
integer++;
ushort = integer;

printf("ushort = %d\n",ushort);
```

- What does this code print?
 - Or at least what's the hex value of the decimal value it prints?

Example

```
unsigned short    ushort;  
signed char      schar;  
int              integer;
```

```
schar = 0xAF;
```

```
integer = (int) schar;
```

```
integer++;
```

```
ushort = integer;
```

```
printf("ushort = %d\n",ushort);
```

schar

AF

integer

FF	FF	FF	AF
----	----	----	----

integer

FF	FF	FF	B0
----	----	----	----

ushort

FF	B0
----	----

Because printf doesn't specify "h" ushort is size augmented to 4-bytes using movzx (because declared as unsigned): 00 00 FF B0
The number is then printed as a signed integer ("%d"): 65456

Carry/Overflow bits

- Which of these operations set the Carry bit to 1? (presumably we care because we think of these as unsigned operations)
 - $0F12 + F212$ (2-byte quantities)
 - $00E3 + F74F$ (2-byte quantities)
 - $F1 - FA$ (1-byte quantities)
 - $FB12 - A3AA$ (2-byte quantities)
 - $A314 - B010$ (2-byte quantities)
- Which of these operations set the Overflow bit to 1? (presumably we care because we think of these as signed operations)
 - $00E3 + FF4F$ (2-byte quantities)
 - $F1 - 7A$ (1-byte quantities)

Carry/Overflow bits (Solution)

- Which of these operations set the Carry bit to 1?

$$\begin{array}{r} 0F12 \\ + F212 \\ = 10124 \end{array}$$

Carry bit is set

$$\begin{array}{r} 00E3 \\ + F74F \\ = F832 \end{array}$$

Carry bit is not set

- $F1 - FA$: $F1 < FA$ Carry bit is set
- $FB12 - A3AA$: $FB12 > A3AA$ Carry bit is not set
- $A314 - B010$: $A314 < B010$ Carry bit is set

Carry/Overflow bits (Solution)

- Which of these operations set the Overflow bit to 1?
 - $00E3 + FF4F$
 - $00E3 > 0$, equal to decimal +227
 - $FF4F < 0$, 2's complement = $00B0+1 = B1$, equal to decimal -177
 - $+243 - 177 = 50$
 - 2 byte unsigned numbers are in $[-32,768, +32,767]$
 - Overflow bit is not set
 - $F1 - 7A$
 - $F1 < 0$, 2's complement = $0E+1 = 0F$, equal to decimal -15
 - $7A > 0$, equal to 122
 - $-15 - 122 = -137$
 - 1-byte unsigned numbers are in $[-128,+127]$
 - Overflow bit is set

Unsigned Overflow

On web site as
ics312_overflow_unsigned.asm

```
mov     al, 0F0h      ; al = F0h
mov     bl, 0A3h     ; bl = A3h
add     al, bl        ; al = al + bl
movzx   eax, al      ; increase size for printing
call    print_int    ; print al as an integer
```

- As a programmer we decided to do some computation with **unsigned values**
- We put value F0h in al (unsigned F0h is decimal 240)
- We put value A3h in bl (unsigned A3h is decimal 163)
- We add them together
- The “true” result should be decimal $240+163 = 403$, which cannot be encoded on 8 bits (should be < 255)
- But the processor just goes ahead: $F0 + A3 = 193h$, and then drops the leftmost bits to truncate to a 1-byte value to get 93h!
- To call `print_int`, we need the integer in `eax`, so we `movzx` `al` into `eax`
- `print_int` print the decimal value corresponding to `00000093h`, that is: 147!
- This is obviously wrong, and we can tell (or will be able to shortly) because the carry bit is in fact set to 1
- **Note that this is all correct if we assume signed values and replace `movzx` by `movsx`, but then our initial interpretation of the two values is different**

Signed Overflow

On web site as
ics312_overflow_signed.asm

```
mov     al, 09Ah      ; al = 9Ah
mov     bl, 073h     ; bl = 73h
sub     al, bl        ; al = al - bl
movsx   eax, al      ; increase size for printing
call    print_int    ; print al as an integer
```

- As a programmer we decided to do some computation with **signed values**
- We put value 9Ah in al (signed 9Ah is decimal -102)
- We put value 73h in bl (signed 73h is decimal +115)
- We subtract bl from al
- The “true” result should be decimal $-102 - 115 = -217$, which cannot be encoded on 8 bits (should be ≥ -128)
- But the processor just goes ahead: $9A - 73 = 27h$
- To call print_int, we need the integer in eax, so we movsx al into eax
- print_int prints the decimal value corresponding to 00000027h, that is: 39!
- This is obviously wrong, and we can tell (or will be able to shortly) because the overflow bit is in fact set to 1
- **Note that this is all correct if we assume unsigned values and replace movsx by movzx, but then our initial interpretation of the two values is different**