Buffer Overflow

ICS312 Machine-Level and Systems Programming

Henri Casanova (henric@hawaii.edu)

Buffer Overflow

- You likely have heard of the "buffer overflow" method for exploiting a vulnerability of a program
 - e.g., to cause a Web server to do something potentially harmful, such as running code it wasn't supposed to run
- The way in which this technique works is based on damaging the runtime stack
- Now that we know what the stack looks like, let's see if we can understand how buffer overflow works
- We use the standard, most simple, example

The Basic Idea

- The goal is to have a program run code it wouldn't run in a normal/valid/allowed execution
- This is done by overwriting a return address on the stack
- When RET is executed, it pops off a 4-byte value from the stack, interprets it as an address in the text segment, and jumps to it
- If, somehow, these 4 bytes were modified illegally, then the program jumps to any address and starts running code
 - Some function in the program
 - Some library function or system call
 - Some arbitrary code (if one is a bit clever)
- This can be easily done if
 - The original program does unsafe memory operations
 - □ The attacker has knowledge of the program and of the architecture
 - The attacker is reasonably clever

Corrupting the Stack

Consider the following C program sketch, which takes one command-line argument:

```
void f(char *str) {
    char buffer[16];
    strcpy(buffer, str);
```

```
void main(int argc, char **argv) {
    f(argv[1]);
```

strcpy simply goes through the bytes in str and copies each byte into buffer, until it hits a \0 character

The Stack

The Stack before the call to strcpy()

void f(char *str) {
 char buffer[16];
 strcpy(buffer, str);

void main(int argc, char **argv) {
 f(argv[1]);
}



The Stack

The Stack before the call to strcpy()

void f(char *str) {
 char buffer[16];
 strcpy(buffer, str);

void main(int argc, char **argv) {
 f(argv[1]);
}



The Stack

The Stack in the call to strcpy()

void exploitable(char *str) {
 char buffer[16];
 strcpy(buffer, str);

void main(int argc, char **argv) {
 exploitable(argv[1]);



Writing into the buffer

```
Say that argv[1]="SomeString!\0"
```

strcpy() writes it on the stack, in buffer[]

void exploitable(char *str) {
 char buffer[16];
 strcpy(buffer, str);

void main(int argc, char **argv) {
 exploitable(argv[1]);



Bad code

- The problem is that the code is buggy
- C being C, you write past the end of the array
- Say the code is part of a Web server, which is compiled and running on some host
- Say that the string passed to f comes from some Web request via the network
- If a string that is too long is passed, then the stack will be corrupted..

Writing into the buffer

- Say that argv[1]="SomeOtherStringMuchLonger!!\0"
- strcpy() writes it on the stack, in buffer[]
- When strcpy returns, it restores ebp for f and returns to f
- f then pops the two parameters for strcpy
- When f returns it
 - removes space for buffer
 - restores the saved EBP to "uchL" (bogus)
 - jumps to address "onge"!



So What?

- If an attacker knows the address of some subprogram, he/she can create a string so that bytes 20-23 ("onge" in our example) form the bytes of this address!
- This requires that the attacker know the address of some subprogram to call
 - Can be discovered by "looking" at the program in debug mode (see later in the semester)
 - Only doable for known/standard programs
 - e.g., knowing that a Web server runs Apache, knowing which version it is, knowing the address of some function in that version, then one can perhaps exploit a buffer overflow
- More involved exploit: the overflowing string contains code, and the "fake" return address points to this code

One can then run arbitrary code that "looks like a string"

What can we do about it?

- A simple idea: make sure the subprogram doesn't overwrite activation records willy nilly
 - The activation record should be the subprogram's "play pen"
- But this would be tricky and costly
 - Some writes to the stack outside the activation record should be allowed (i.e., g passes to f a pointer to one of its local variables)
 - Would have to do an "is this ok?" check for every memory store operation
- Another idea, is to use a stack canary
 - Have the compiler insert hidden local variables with secret values known to the compiler
 - Before doing the ret instruction, check that the canary hasn't changed!

```
Stack without canary
```

void f(char *str) {
 char buffer[16];
 strcpy(buffer, str);

void main(int argc, char **argv) {
 f(argv[1]);
}



```
Stack with canary
```

```
void f(char *str) {
    char buffer[16];
    strcpy(buffer, str);
```

```
void main(int argc, char **argv) {
    f(argv[1]);
}
```



Buffer overflow modifies the canary!

void f(char *str) {
 char buffer[16];
 strcpy(buffer, str);

void main(int argc, char **argv) {
 f(argv[1]);
}



The ret instruction BEFORE doing a pop checks the canary

```
void f(char *str) {
    char buffer[16];
    strcpy(buffer, str);
```

```
void main(int argc, char **argv) {
    f(argv[1]);
}
```



The canary has changed, and we branch to code that terminates the program
parameter argv[1]

void f(char *str) {
 char buffer[16];
 strcpy(buffer, str);

void main(int argc, char **argv) {
 f(argv[1]);



In practice

- Most compilers allow you to generate code that does runtime checks
- Check your compiler's documentation
- In gcc, flag -fstack-protector-all will make a canary for all functions
 - □ Safe, but a bit slow..

Conclusion

- Understanding what the stack looks like is necessary to understand how the system can be attacked
- This was the simplest example, and there is more to this
- A course like ICS426 provides more in-depth coverage of such topics